



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,380	06/23/2003	Chien-Li Hung	251210-1350	7962
24504	7590 12/14/2005		EXAM	INER
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750			DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948		2652	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/601,380	HUNG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan Danielsen	2652			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the examiner suggests that the following exemplary phrases "The prevailing type of optical is the optical disc of..." (page 1, line 24), "by Hall sensor" (page 2, line 15), and "the angle acceleration" (page 5, lines 2, 6-7, and 11-12) be changed to --The prevailing type of optical <u>disc</u> is the optical disc of...--, --by a Hall sensor--, and --the angular acceleration--. Appropriate correction is required.

Additionally, the examiner respectfully requests that Applicant carefully review the specification to determine and correct any other informalities that may be found therein.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the examiner suggests that the phrase "measured by Hall sensor" be changed to --measured by <u>a</u> Hall sensor--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-11, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (hereinafter Kim) (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0103430).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Kim discloses a method (and associated apparatus) of detecting a type of an optical disc according to a rotation speed of a spindle motor loading the optical disc, comprising the following steps:

- (a) driving the spindle motor ("the controller 17 applies a driving voltage to the spindle motor 14 for a predetermined time Tc through driving unit 16" (¶ 8, lines 2-4 and figure 1));
- (b) detecting the rotation speed of the spindle motor after a predetermined period ("the controller 17 counts a number of pulses in the FG signal input for the duration of the time Tc" (¶ 9, lines 5-6 figure 1)); and
- (c) comparing the rotation speed of the spindle motor with a plurality of predetermined rotation speeds to determine the type of optical disc loaded on the spindle motor ("the controller 17 counts a number of pulses in the FG signal input for the duration of the time Tc and compares this counted value with two references T_{NL} and T_{NH}" (¶ 9, lines 5-6 and figure 1)).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of an optical disc as claimed in claim 1, wherein the spindle motor is driven by a voltage having a predetermined waveform ("the controller 17 applies a driving voltage to the spindle motor 14" (¶ 8, lines 2-3 and figure 1)).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of an optical disc as claimed in claim 1, wherein no optical disc in the spindle motor is determined when the rotation speed of the spindle motor is higher than the predetermined rotation speeds ("If the counted value is greater than T_{NH} , the controller 17 judges that there is no disk" (¶ 9, lines 8-9)).

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of optical disc as claimed in claim 1, wherein the predetermined rotation speeds at least comprise a first

Art Unit: 2652

predetermined rotation speed (T_{NL} in ¶ 9) and a second predetermined rotation speed (T_{NH} in ¶ 9).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of an optical disc as claimed in claim 6, wherein the type of the optical disc is determined as an 8 cm optical disc when the rotation speed of the spindle motor is between the first predetermined rotation speed and the second predetermined rotation speed ("If the counted value is between T_{NH} and T_{NL} , it judges that the placed disk is a 8 cm disk." (¶ 9, lines 9-11)).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of an optical disc as claimed in claim 6, wherein the type of the optical disc is determined as a 12 cm optical disc when the rotation speed of the spindle motor is slower than the second predetermined rotation speed ("If the counted value is smaller than T_{NL}, then it judges the placed disk is a 12 cm disk." (¶ 9, lines 11-12)).

Regarding claims 9 and 17. Kim discloses the method of detecting a type of an optical disc as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first predetermined rotation speed is slower than the second predetermined rotation speed ($T_{NL} < T_{NH}$ (¶ 9, line 8)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in 7. view of Official Notice.

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Kim discloses everything claimed as applied to claims 2 and 11. However, Kim fails to disclose where the predetermined waveform comprises a higher

voltage level in a first period to overcome static friction of the spindle motor, and a lower voltage level in a second period to drive the rotating spindle motor.

Official Notice is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing a higher startup voltage to a spindle motor are well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to provide additional energy to the motor windings during an initial period so as to overcome the effects of static friction, thereby not wasting additional energy in slowly starting the motor.

8. Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Harata (US Patent 6,944,108).

Regarding claims 4 and 18, Kim discloses everything claimed as applied to claims 4 and 10 respectively. However, Kim fails to disclose where the rotation speed of the spindle motor is measured by Hall sensor.

In the same field of endeavor, Harata discloses where the rotation speed of the spindle motor is measured by Hall sensor (The Hall elements send sine wave signals to a CPU after converting them into pulses. These pulses are then counted for the duration of a measuring time, which the CPU converts into a rotational frequency. (col. 3, line 61 through col. 4, line 2)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a Hall sensor to measure the spindle speed of a spindle motor, as taught by Harata, for the purpose of determining the size and shape of an optical disc (col. 2, lines 4-10))

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Danielsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM EST.

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A.L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan Danielsen 12/09/2005

> WILLIAM KLIMOWICZ PRIMARY EXAMINER